

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMINSTANCE FOR PATENTS P.O. po. 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.lspiy.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,247	01/17/2001	Thomas C. Bressoud	1-1-1-1	1-1-1-1 5905	
50959	7590 07/18/2006		EXAMINER		
WERNER & AXENFELD, LLP			PHILLIPS, HASSAN A		
P.O. BOX 1629 WEST CHESTER, PA 19380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2151		
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/764,247	BRESSOUD ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Hassan Phillips	2151

	Tidocali i illinpo	12101					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv			er is later. In no				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	•	OWT NIHTIW C				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compared to the state of t	pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	e filed within hwo mon	the of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef will not be entered I	necause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			J004450				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	, ,					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	I21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>30-37</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	N9(s)/					
13. Other:	- asor	XX					
	ZARNI	MAUNG					
	SUPERVISORY P	ATENT EXAMINER	1				
		-					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not convincing. Applicant's claimed "wrapping layers" do not significantly distinguish from the teachings of the prior art. Examiner therefore maintains broadest reasonable interpretation be given to applicant's claimed invention.